ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

The qualifications of the attorneys in the Wolf Haldenstein Litigation Group are set forth below and are followed by descriptions of some of the Firm's attorneys who normally practice outside the Litigation Group who contribute significantly to the class action practice from time to time.

PARTNERS

DANIEL W. KRASNER: *admitted:* New York; Supreme Court of the United States; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Central District of Illinois, and Northern District of Michigan. *Education:* Yale Law School (LL.B., 1965); Yeshiva College (B.A., 1962). Mr. Krasner, a partner in the Firm's New York office, is the senior partner of Wolf Haldenstein's Class Action Litigation Group. He began practicing law with Abraham L. Pomerantz, generally credited as the "Dean of the Class Action Bar." He founded the Class Litigation Group at Wolf Haldenstein in 1976.

Mr. Krasner received judicial praise for his class action acumen as early as 1978. *See, e.g., Shapiro v. Consolidated Edison Co.,* [1978 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) & 96,364 at 93,252 (S.D.N.Y. 1978) ("in the Court's opinion the reputation, skill and expertise of . . . [Mr.] Krasner, considerably enhanced the probability of obtaining as large a cash settlement as was obtained"); *Steiner v. BOC Financial Corp.,* [1980 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) & 97,656, at 98,491.4, (S.D.N.Y. 1980) ("This Court has previously recognized the high quality of work of plaintiffs' lead counsel, Mr. Krasner"). The New York Law Journal referred to Mr. Krasner as one of the "top rank plaintiffs' counsel" in the securities and class action fields. In connection with a failed 1989 management buyout of United Airlines, Mr. Krasner testified before Congress.

More recently, Mr. Krasner has been one of the lead attorneys for plaintiffs in some of the leading Federal multidistrict cases in the United States, including the IPO Litigation in the Southern District of New York, the Mutual Fund Market Timing Litigation in the District of Maryland, and several Madoff-related litigations pending in the Southern District of New York. Mr. Krasner has also been lead attorney in several precedent-setting shareholder actions in Delaware Chancery Court and the New York Court of Appeals, including American International Group, Inc. v. Greenberg, 965 A.2d 763 (Del. Ch. 2009) and the companion certified appeal, Kirschner v. KPMG LLP, Nos. 151, 152, 2010 N.Y. LEXIS 2959 (N.Y. Oct. 21, 2010); Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana and City of New Orleans Employees' Retirement System, derivatively on behalf of nominal defendant American International Group, Inc., v. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, No. 152 (New York,



October 21, 2010); *In re CNX Gas Corp. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 5377-VCL, 2010 Del. Ch. LEXIS 119 (Del. Ch., May 25, 2010); *In re CNX Gas Corp. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 5377-VCL, 2010 Del. Ch. LEXIS 139, (Del. Ch. July 5, 2010), appeal refused, 2010 Del. LEXIS 324, 2010 WL 2690402 (Del. 2010).

Mr. Krasner has lectured at the Practicing Law Institute; Rutgers Graduate School of Business; Federal Bar Council; Association of the Bar of the City of New York; Rockland County, New York State, and American Bar Associations; Federal Bar Council, and before numerous other bar, industry, and investor groups.

FRED TAYLOR ISQUITH: *admitted*: New York; Supreme Court of the United States; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Fourth and Eighth Circuits; U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Eastern and Northern Districts of New York; District of Columbia; District of Arizona; District of Colorado; Northern and Central District of Illinois; Western District of Michigan and District of Nebraska. *Education*: Columbia University Law School (J.D. 1971), City University of New York (Brooklyn) (B.A., 1968).

Mr. Isquith is a senior partner in the litigation department. He has been lead counsel in numerous class actions in the fields of securities law and antitrust law (as well as others) in his more than forty years of experience. Courts have commented about Mr. Isquith as follows:

- · Parker Friedland v. Iridium World Communications, Ltd., 99-1002 (D.D.C.) where the Firm was co-lead counsel, Judge Laughrey said (on October 16, 2008), "[a]ll of the attorneys in this case have done an outstanding job, and I really appreciate the quality of work that we had in our chambers as a result of this case."
- · In re Dynamic Random Access Memory Antitrust Litigation, MDL-02-1486 (N.D. Cal.) where the Firm was co-lead counsel, Judge Hamilton said (on August 15, 2007), "I think I can conclude on the basis with my five years with you all, watching this litigation progress and seeing it wind to a conclusion, that the results are exceptional. The percentages, as you have outlined them, do put this [case] in one of the upper categories of results of this kind of [antitrust] class action. I am aware of the complexity . . . I thought that you all did an exceptionally good job of bringing to me only those matters that really required the Court's attention. You did an exceptionally good job at organizing and managing the case, assisting me in management of the case. There was excellent coordination between all the various different plaintiffs' counsel with your group and the other groups that are part of this litigation. . . So my conclusion is the case was well litigated by both sides, well managed as well by both sides."



- · *In re MicroStrategy Securities Litigation*, 150 F. Supp. 2d 896, 903 (E.D. Va. 2001) where the Firm was co-lead counsel, Judge Ellis commented: "Clearly, the conduct of all counsel in this case and the result they have achieved for all of the parties confirms that they deserve the national recognition they enjoy."
- · In re Public Service Co. of New Hampshire Derivative Litigation, 84-220-D (D.N.H. 1986) involving the construction of the Seabrook Nuclear Power Plant, where the Firm was lead counsel, the court said of plaintiffs' counsel that "the skill required and employed was of the highest caliber."
- · *In re Warner Communications Securities Litigation*, 618 F. Supp. 735, 749 (S.D.N.Y 1985) where the Firm served as co-lead counsel, the court noted the defendants' concession that "'plaintiffs' counsel constitute the cream of the plaintiffs' bar.' The Court cannot find fault with that characterization."
- · Steiner v. Equimark Corp., No. 81-1988 (W.D. Pa. 1983) a case involving complex issues concerning banking practices in which the Firm was lead counsel, then District Judge Mannsman described, in part, the work the Firm performed: "We look at the complexity of the issue, the novelty of it, the quality of work that, as the trial judge, I am able to perceive, and then, finally, the amount of recovery obtained: I think I have certainly said a lot in that regard. I think it's been an extraordinary case. I think it's an extraordinary settlement. Certainly defense counsel and plaintiffs' counsel as well are all experienced counsel with tremendous amount of experience in these particular kinds of cases. And under those circumstances. . . I think it was, really, the strategy and ingenuity of counsel in dividing up the workload and strategizing the cases as to who was to do what and what ultimately should be done to bring about the settlement that was achieved."

A frequent author, lecturer, and participant in bar committees and other activities, Mr. Isquith has devoted his career to complex financial litigation and business matters.

Mr. Isquith currently writes a weekly column of class action for *The Class Act*, a publication of the National Association of Shareholders and Consumer Attorneys and appears monthly as a columnist for *Law 360*. Among his articles and writings are: Further Thinking On Halliburton (December, 2013); State Mandated Student Pro Bono Programs Are Inefficient (November, 2013); Let's Really Consider The Idea Of A 2 Year Law Degree (October, 2013); Spotlight on Spoliation (September, 2013); More Restrictions for ERISA Fiduciaries (August, 2013); Questionable Constitutionality: Supreme Court's Amex Ruling (co-authored with Alexander Schmidt of Wolf Haldenstein) (July, 2013); How Facebook Informs Exclusive Jurisdiction Provisions (May, 2013); Sui Generis At Supreme



Court (May, 2013); Another Look at Amgen (April, 2013); How Not To Plead A Multistate Class Action (March, 2013); Supreme Court Spotlight: Sex, Race And ... Commerce (January, 2013); Rule 23 'Preliminary' Requirement As Seen By 7th Circ. (December, 2012); Exhaustion - Patent And Copyright And The Supreme Court (November, 2012); Case Study: In Re AIG Securities Litigation (October, 2012); Case Study: Rosado V. China North East Petroleum (September, 2012); A Dissection Of Rule 23 (August, 2012); A 2nd Look At Class Action Requirements (July, 2012); The Continued Robustness Of Rule 23(b)(2) (June, 2012); The Simmonds Case (§16 Ruling) In The Litigation Context (May, 2012); A Look At Litigated And Settled Class Certification (April, 2012); Concepcion Commands a Case-by-Case Analysis (March, 2012); Dec. 20, 2011 - 3 Big Decisions (February, 2012); Case Study: Damasco v. Clearwire (January, 2012).

Further he is a lecturer called upon by the Academy and Bar. For example, Class Actions with Caution, (Touro School, 2011); The Federal Pleading Standards after Twombly; Touro Law School (2010). Panelist with the Antitrust Committee of the New York City Bar Association Regarding Private Equity Transactions and the Implications of the Supreme Court's Recent Decisions (2008); Developments in Class Actions; (NYSBA, 2007); IPO Tie In/Claims Seminar, Professional Liability Underwriter Society; Securities Arbitration New York State Bar Association; Real Estate Exit Strategies, American Conference Institute; Fundamental Strategies in Securities Litigation (NYSBA, CLE Program). He has been active in the Bar Association's activities: President's Committee on Access to Justice (2010); Committee on Evidence (2007 -); Committees on Legislation and Federal Courts, 1984-1988), Committee on Securities, The Association of the Bar of the City of New York (Committee on Federal Courts; Committee on Antitrust); New York County Lawyers' Association (Former Chair: Business Tort/Consumer Fraud-Tort Law Section); Brooklyn (Member: Committee on Civil Practice Law and Rules, 1983-1987; New York State (Member: Committee on Legislation, Trial Lawyers Section, 1981-); the District of Columbia Bar; and Legislation and Civil Practice Law and Rules Committee of the Brooklyn Bar Association; Vice President if the Institute for Law and Economic Policy. Mr. Isquith has been Chairman of the Business Tort/Consumer Fraud Committee of the Tort Law Section of the New York State Bar Association and is a member of that Association's Committees on Securities Law and Legislation. He also serves as a judge for the Moot Court Competition of Columbia University Law School. Mr. Isquith served as President of the National Association of Securities and Commercial Law Attorneys in 2003 and 2004.

Mr. Isquith is frequently quoted in the Wall Street Journal, the New York Times, and other national publications.



The April 1987 issue of Venture magazine listed Mr. Isquith as among the nation's top securities class action attorneys. Since 2006 Mr. Isquith has been elected as among the top 5% of attorneys in the New York City metropolitan area chosen to be included in the Super Lawyers Magazine. Martindale Hubbell registers Mr. Isquith as one of the Preeminent Lawyers (2010), Avenue Magazine, Legal Elite (2010).

JEFFREY G. SMITH: admitted: New York; California; Supreme Court of the United States; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth and Ninth Circuits; U.S. Tax Court; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Southern, Central and Northern Districts of California and the Districts of Colorado and Nebraska. *Education*: Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University (M.P.A., 1977); Yale Law School (J.D., 1978); Vassar College (A.B., cum laude generali, 1974). At Yale Law School, Mr. Smith was a teaching assistant for the Trial Practice course and a student supervisor in the Legal Services Organization, a clinical program. Member: The Association of the Bar of the City of New York; New York State and American (Section on Litigation) Bar Associations; State Bar of California (Member: Litigation Section); American Association for Justice. Mr. Smith has frequently lectured on corporate governance issues to professional groups of Fund trustees and investment advisors as well as to graduate and undergraduate business student groups, and has regularly served as a moot court judge for the A.B.A. and at New York University Law School. Mr. Smith has substantial experience in complex civil litigation, including class and derivative actions, tender offer, merger, and takeover litigation. Mr. Smith is rated "AV" by Martindale Hubble and, since its inception in 2006, has been selected as among the top 5% of attorneys in the New York City metropolitan area chosen to be included in the Super Lawyers Magazine.

FRANCIS M. GREGOREK: admitted: California; New York; United States Courts of Appeals for the Second and Ninth Circuits; United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the Southern, Central, and Northern Districts of California. Education: University of Virginia (B.A., magna cum laude, 1975). Phi Beta Kappa, Phi Alpha Theta International Historical Honor Society; University College, Durham University, England; New York University School of Law (J.D., 1978). Mr. Gregorek is the Managing Partner of the Firm's San Diego office. Throughout his 32 year career, Mr. Gregorek's practice has focused on complex commercial litigation and class action practice on both the trial and appellate court levels, in federal and state courts nationwide, in the areas of securities, antitrust, consumer protection, and technology. Mr. Gregorek has also represented foreign governments involved in complex commercial litigation in United States federal courts. As part of that



representation, Mr. Gregorek has worked in conjunction with the heads of ministerial departments, ambassadors, and consular officials of those countries charged by their governments with overseeing the litigations, as well as the attorney general of a government he was representing. Throughout these litigations, Mr. Gregorek met with such government officials to advise and plan strategy in addition to keeping them fully up-to-date on the progress of the litigation.

Mr. Gregorek has served as lead counsel, co-lead counsel, or in other leadership positions in numerous class and other complex litigations throughout the United States. For example, In re Dole Shareholder Litigation, Case No. BC281949 (recovered \$172 million for shareholders) (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2003). At the time of the case's settlement, the \$172 million recovered for the class was one of the top 10 recoveries ever achieved on behalf of a class. Judge Anthony J. Mohr, who presided over the action, stated at the final settlement hearing: "Co-Lead Counsel did excellent first class work." Id.

As an additional example, Mr. Gregorek and the Firm served as co-lead counsel in Bamboo Partners LLC v. The Robert Mondavi Corp., et al., Case No. 26-27170 (Super. Ct. Napa County, 2004), a class action arising from an unsolicited \$1.3 billion offer (cash and debt assumption) from Constellation Brands, Inc. for The Robert Mondavi Corp.

Mr. Gregorek has successfully argued two matters to the California Supreme Court that established: (1) the right of taxpayers to file class claims under the Government Claims Act for the return of improperly collected taxes, Ardon v. City of Los Angeles, 52 Cal.4th 241 (2011) (challenging the City of Los Angeles' telephone users tax on behalf of the City's taxpayers) and (2) the Government Claims Act's pre-emption of ordinances seeking to bar class actions for the return of improperly collected taxes, McWilliams v. City of Long Beach, 2013 Cal. LEXIS 3510, Cal. Supreme Ct. No. S202037 (April 25, 2013) (challenging the City of Long Beach's telephone users tax on behalf of the City's taxpayers).

CHARLES J. HECHT: admitted New York, United States Supreme Court, United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit; United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit; United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit; United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit; United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit; United States District Court for the Southern District of New York; United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York; United States District Court for the; Eastern District of Wisconsin and the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Education: Mr. Hecht is a graduate of Cornell



University and Cornell University Law. Charles J. Hecht is a partner of the firm, with over 40 years' experience in securities and commodities transactions, litigation, and arbitration. He has more than 50 published decisions on cases in which he was the sole or lead counsel, in areas ranging from securities and commodities fraud to constitutional and contract disputes.

Mr. Hecht has provided expert testimony before the Internal Revenue Service with respect to the impact of proposed tax regulations on preferred stock hedged with commodity futures and options. He has authored articles on mergers and acquisitions, earn outs, commodities, hedging, derivatives, and arbitration jurisdiction and damages. Since 2005 he has been the legal columnist for smartpros.com, an online newsletter for financial professionals.

He has been active in the New York State Bar Association's continuing legal education program, regularly speaking about class actions and serving as the Chairman of the program on securities arbitration in 1995. In 1996, Mr. Hecht was a principal coauthor of the New York Federal Practice Section's Report on Securities Class Fees. He is also an arbitrator for the American Arbitration Association and COMEX.

Before entering private practice, Mr. Hecht was with the Division of Corporate Finance (Washington, D.C. main office) of the Securities and Exchange Commission. He is actively involved with businesses in China and is a member of the United States-China Chamber of Commerce.

Notable Cases include, *CMIA Partners Equity Ltd. v. O'Neill*, 2010 NY Slip Op 52068(U) (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co., 2010), *Hecht v. Andover Assocs. Mgmt. Corp.*, 27 Misc 3d 1202(A) (Sup. Ct. Nassau Co., 2010), and *Sacher v. Beacon Assoc. Mgmt. Corp.*, 27 Misc 3d 1221(A) (Sup. Ct. Nassau Co., 2010). The *CMIA* case is the first time that a New York state court examined shareholder derivative suits under Cayman Islands law.

PETER C. HARRAR: *admitted*; **New York**; United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. *Education*: Columbia Law School (J.D. 1984); Princeton University, Phi Beta Kappa, *magna cum laude*. Mr. Harrar is a partner in the firm and has extensive experience in complex securities and commercial litigation on behalf of individual and institutional clients.

He has represented investment funds, hedge funds, insurance companies and other institutional investors in a variety of individual actions, class actions and disputes involving mortgage-backed securities and derivative instruments. Examples include *In*



re EMAC Securities Litigation, a fraud case concerning private placements of securitized loan pools, and Steed Finance LDC v. LASER Advisors, Inc., a hybrid individual and class action concerning the mispricing of swaptions.

Over the years, Mr. Harrar has also served as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous securities class and derivative actions throughout the country, recovering hundreds of millions of dollars on behalf of aggrieved investors and corporations. Recent examples are some of the largest recoveries achieved in resolution of derivative actions, including American International Group Consolidated Derivative Litigation) (\$90 million), and Bank of *America/Merrill Derivative Litigation* (\$62.5 million).

LAWRENCE P. KOLKER: admitted: New York; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third and Eleventh Circuits; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Western District of Michigan and the District of Colorado. Education: State University of New York at Binghamton (B.A., 1978); Brooklyn Law School (J.D., 1983). Editor, Brooklyn Law Review, 1982-1983. Panelist, Early Neutral Evaluator for the Eastern District of New York, 1992-1997. Lecturer, Brooklyn Law School, 1989. Assistant Corporation Counsel, City of New York, 1983-1987. Member: The Association of the Bar of the City of New York; New York State Bar Association.

Mr. Kolker has often represented investors in direct investments, such as REITs and limited partnerships, including Empire State Realty Associates, Inland Western REIT, Wells REIT, CNL Hotels & Resorts, Inc., General Electric (Polaris Aircraft limited partnerships), Jones Intercable, Nooney and Sierra Pacific (American Spectrum roll-up), Real Estate Associates (NAPICO roll-up), and Marriott Hotel Properties II. He was appointed Counsel to the Courtyard by Marriott Limited Partners Committee in its dealings with Host Marriott Corporation, and Special Counsel to the Windsor Park Properties 7 and 8 limited partners to insure the fairness of their liquidation transactions.

He has tried several securities actions to verdict. His notable judicial decisions include Stepak v. Addison, 20 F.3d 398 (11th Cir. 1994); In re Comdisco Securities Litigation, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5097 (N.D. III. March 3, 2003); City Partnership Co. v. Cable TV Fund 14-B, 213 F.R.D. 576 (D. Colo. 2002); Sturm v. Marriott Marquis Corp., 85 F. Supp. 2d 1356 (N.D. Ga. 2000); In re Southeast Hotel Properties Limited Partnership Investor Litigation, 151 F.R.D. 597 (W.D.N.C. 1993); Prostic v. Xerox Corp., [1991 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 96,1967 (D. Conn. July 19, 1991); In re Cencom Cable Income Partners, L.P. Litigation, Consolidated C.A. No. 14634, 2000 Del. Ch. LEXIS 10 (Jan. 27, 2000); and Wallace v. Wood, 752 A.2d 1175 (Del. Ch. 1999). Mr. Kolker is a frequent speaker at conferences of the American Conference Institute, the Investment Program Association



and the Strategic Research Institute, and has published articles in Standard & Poor's *Review of Securities and Commodities Regulation* entitled "Litigation Strategies for Limited Partnership Tender Offers" (February 1996) and "Limited Partnership Five Percent Tender Offers" (October 1997). Mr. Kolker has acted as lead counsel in numerous class and derivative actions asserting the rights of investors since joining Wolf Haldenstein in 1989. He also counsels investment management firms in transactional and securities matters and represents them in corporate and business litigation.

MARK C. RIFKIN: admitted: New York; Pennsylvania; New Jersey; U.S. Supreme Court; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fifth, and D.C. Circuits; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Eastern and Western Districts of Pennsylvania, the District of New Jersey, the Eastern District of Wisconsin and the Western District of Michigan. Education: Princeton University (A.B., 1982); Villanova University School of Law (J.D. 1985). Contributor, Packel & Poulin, Pennsylvania Evidence (1987).

A highly experienced securities class action and shareholder rights litigator, Mr. Rifkin has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for victims of corporate fraud and abuse in federal and state litigation across the country. Since 1990, Mr. Rifkin has served as lead counsel, co-lead counsel, or trial counsel in many class and derivative actions in securities, intellectual property, ERISA, antitrust, insurance, consumer and mass tort litigation throughout the country.

Unique among his peers in the class action practice, Mr. Rifkin has extensive trial experience. Over the past thirty years, Mr. Rifkin has tried many complex commercial actions in federal and state courts across the country in class and derivative actions, including *In re National Media Corp. Derivative Litig.*, C.A. 90-7574 (E.D. Pa.), *Upp v. Mellon Bank, N.A.*, C.A. No. 91-5229 (E.D. Pa.), where the verdict awarded more than \$60 million in damages to the Class (later reversed on appeal, 997 F.2d 1039 (3d Cir. 1993)), and *In re AST Research Securities Litigation*, No. 94-1370 SVW (C.D. Cal.), as well as a number of commercial matters for individual clients, including *Zelouf Int'l Corp. v. Zelouf*, Index No. 653652/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2015), in which he obtained a \$10 million judgment for for his client.

Mr. Rifkin also has extensive appellate experience. Over thirty years, Mr. Rifkin has argued dozens of appeals on behalf of appellants and appellees in several federal appellate courts, and in the highest appellate courts in New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware.



Mr. Rifkin has earned the AV®-Preeminent rating by Martindale-Hubbell® for more than 20 years, and has been selected for inclusion in the New York Metro SuperLawyers® listing since 2010. In 2014, Mr. Rifkin was named a "Titan of the Plaintiff's Bar" by Law360®. In 2015, Mr. Rifkin received worldwide acclaim for his role as lead counsel for the class in *Good Morning To You Productions Corp. v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc.*, No. CV 13-04460-GHK (MRWx), in federal court in Los Angeles, successfully challenging the copyright to "Happy Birthday to You," the world's most famous song.

Mr. Rifkin lectures frequently to business and professional organizations on a variety of securities, shareholder, intellectual property, and corporate governance matters. Mr. Rifkin is a guest lecturer to graduate and undergraduate economics and finance students on corporate governance and financial disclosure topics. He also serves as a moot court judge for the A.B.A. and New York University Law School. Mr. Rifkin appears frequently in print and broadcast media on diverse law-related topics in corporate, securities, intellectual property, antitrust, regulatory, and enforcement matters.

MICHAEL JAFFE: admitted: California; New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. *Education*: University of California at Berkeley (B.S., with highest distinction, 1982); Hastings College of the Law, University of California (J.D., 1987). Judicial Extern to the Honorable Thelton E. Henderson, Northern District of California, 1986-1987. Member: The Association of the Bar of the City of New York. Languages: French.

BETSY C. MANIFOLD: *admitted*: Wisconsin; New York; California; U.S. District Courts for the Western District of Wisconsin, Eastern and Southern Districts of New York, and Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California. *Education*: Elmira College; Middlebury College (B.A., *cum laude*, 1980); Marquette University (J.D., 1986); New York University. Thomas More Scholar. Recipient, American Jurisprudence Award in Agency. Member: The Association of the Bar of the City of New York. Languages: French.

Ms. Manifold served as co-lead counsel in the following cases to recovery on behalf of employees: *Miguel Garcia, et al. v. Lowe's Home Center, Inc. et al.* – Case No. GIC 841120 (Barton) (Cal. Sup. Ct, San Diego) (\$1.65 million settlement w/ average class member recovery of \$5,500, attorney fees and cost awarded separately) and *Neil Weinstein, et al. v. MetLife, Inc., et al.* – Case No. 3:06-cv-04444-SI (N.D. Cal) (\$7.4 million settlement). Ms. Manifold also served as co-lead counsel in the following derivative actions: *In re*



Atmel Corporation Derivative Litigation, Master File No. CV 06-4592-JF (N.D. Cal.) (\$9.65) million payment to Atmel) and In re Silicon Storage Technology Inc. Derivative Litig., Case No. C 06-04310 JF (N.D. Cal.) (cash payment and re-pricing of options with a total value of \$5.45 million). Ms. Manifold also worked as lead counsel on the following class action: Lewis v. American Spectrum Realty, Case No. 01 CC 00394, Cal. Sup. Ct (Orange County) (\$6.5 million settlement).

ALEXANDER H. SCHMIDT: *admitted:* New York; New Jersey; United States Supreme Court, United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and the United States Court of Federal Claims. Education: State University of New York, Stony Brook (B.A., 1981); Brooklyn Law School (J.D., 1985). Mr. Schmidt concentrates on sophisticated commercial litigation, including matters involving antitrust, class actions, real estate, banking, commercial factoring, securities fraud, civil RICO, intra-corporate and partnership disputes, and legal and accounting malpractice. Most recently, he acted as lead counsel in the landmark Roberts v. Tishman Speyer, 13 N.Y.3d 270 (N.Y. 2009), described by a sitting member of the U.S. House of Representatives as the greatest legal victory for tenants in her lifetime. In Roberts, Mr. Schmidt obtained a victory in the New York Court of Appeals requiring the reregulation of thousands of apartment units in the Stuyvesant Town complex in Manhattan, New York. Mr. Schmidt was also the sole plaintiffs' counsel in Dresses For Less, Inc. v. CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (S.D.N.Y.), in which the court sustained Sherman Act claims he brought on behalf of victims of group boycotts by the commercial factoring industry. The case resulted in a very satisfying, confidential settlement for his clients and ended the garment center factors' 80-year old practice of conducting illegal twice-weekly meetings to discuss and make joint credit decisions concerning their common customers. Among other noteworthy matters, Mr. Schmidt also conceived and helped sustain a precedent setting Kodak aftermarket monopolization claim in an antitrust and computer fraud and abuse act class action brought by purchasers of Apple's highly popular iPhone, who are challenging Apple's undisclosed, five-year exclusive service contract with AT&T Mobility. In re Apple & ATTM Antitrust Litigation (N.D. Ca.). In Atkins & O'Brien L.L.P. v. ISS Int'l Serv. Sys. (N.Y. App. Div.), Mr. Schmidt resurrected an archaic estoppel exception to the general rule that a client can fire its lawyer at any time, enabling his law firm clients to recover several years of future fees under a general retainer contract. Recently, without filing a lawsuit, Mr. Schmidt successfully represented the tenants association of a multi-building, 1400 apartment complex in renegotiating a ten-year old settlement agreement. The amended agreement reduced rents and plugged a loophole that had enabled rent-protected units to be converted to fully deregulated market apartments. Mr. Schmidt is admitted to practice in New York and New Jersey and before the United States Supreme Court, United States Court of Appeals for the Second



and Ninth Circuits, and the United States Court of Federal Claims. Mr. Schmidt was an Assistant Adjunct Professor of Law at Brooklyn Law School in 1998 and 1999, where he co-taught a seminar on Federal Discovery Practice. He served as the Executive Notes & Topics Editor for the Brooklyn Law Review.

GREGORY M. NESPOLE: admitted: New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York; United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Fourth, and Fifth Circuits. Education: Bates College (B.A., 1989); Brooklyn Law School (J.D., 1993). Member: The Association of the Bar of the City of New York; New York State Bar Association. Mr. Nespole's experience includes complex civil and criminal litigation. Mr. Nespole is responsible for the investigation, initiation and prosecution of securities class actions and derivative litigations on behalf of the firm throughout the country. Mr. Nespole also devotes a considerable amount of time to litigating issues surrounding mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Nespole also represents corporate defendants with respect to class certification issues and structuring class-wide settlements. He has been approved as a panel attorney by a major insurance company to address certification issues. Mr. Nespole is the co-chair of the firm's Madoff Litigation *Task Force.* He has been elected a "Super Lawyer" each year since 2009.

DEMET BASAR: *admitted*: New York; New Jersey; U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, Southern District of New York; Eastern District of Wisconsin, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second and Seventh Circuits. *Education*: Fairleigh Dickinson University (B.A., summa cum laude, 1984), Phi Omega Epsilon; Rutgers University School of Law (J.D., 1990). Recipient, West's Scholarship Award, Senior Notes and Comments Editor, Rutgers Law Review. Member: The Association of the Bar of the City of New York. Languages: Turkish.

Ms. Basar's practice is primarily concentrated in securities class actions and derivative litigation. She is the co-chair of the firm's Madoff Litigation Task Force. Her recent cases include In re Tremont Securities Law, State Law and Insurance Litigation, No. 08-civ-11117 (TPG) (SDNY) (\$100 million settlement for investors in the Tremont family of Madoff feeder funds), In re Beacon Associates Litigation, Master File No. 09 Civ. 0777 (LBS) (SDNY) (\$219 million settlement for investors in the Beacon family of Madoff feeder funds, among others), and other Madoff feeder fund-related securities class actions, including In re J. Ezra Merkin and BDO Seidman Securities Litigation, No. 08-cv-10922 (SDNY) and Newman v. Family Management Corp., No. 08-cv-11215 (SDNY). She has served as lead counsel, co-lead counsel or individual counsel in In re American Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc. Shareholder Litigation, Consolidated C.A. No. 1823-N (Del. Ch. Ct. (\$14.3 million settlement), In re Loral Space & Communications Shareholders



Securities Litigation, 03-cv-8262 (SDNY) (\$3.45 million settlement), Steed Finance LDC v. LASER Advisors, No. 99-cv-4222 (SDNY), In re AMBAC Financial Group, Inc., C.A. No. 3521 (Del. Ch. Ct.), and several multidistrict securities litigations, including In re Mutual Fund Investment Litigation, MDL No. 1586 (D. Md.) and In re J.P. Morgan Chase Securities Litigation, MDL No. 1783 (N.D. III.).

BENJAMIN Y. KAUFMAN: admitted: New York. Education: Yeshiva University, B.A.; Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University, J.D. Mr. Kaufman focuses on class actions on behalf of defrauded investors and consumers. Mr. Kaufman's successful securities litigations include In re Deutsche Telekom AG Securities Litigation, No. 00-9475 (S.D.N.Y.), a complex international securities litigation requiring evidentiary discovery in both the United States and Europe, which settled for \$120 million. Mr. Kaufman was also part of the team that recovered \$46 million for investors in In re Asia Pulp & Paper Securities Litigation, No. 01-7351 (S.D.N.Y.); and \$43.1 million, with contributions of \$20 million, \$14.85 million and \$8.25 million from Motorola, the individual defendants, and defendant underwriters respectively, in Freeland v. Iridium World Communications, Ltd.

Mr. Kaufman's outstanding representative results in derivative and transactional litigations include: In re Trump Hotels Shareholder Derivative Litigation (Trump personally contributed some of his holdings; the company increased the number of directors on its board, and certain future transactions had to be reviewed by a special committee); Southwest Airlines Derivative Litigation (Carbon County Employee Retirement System v. Kelly (Dist. Ct. Dallas Cnty., Tex.)) (a derivative matter that resulted in significant reforms to the air carrier's corporate governance and safety and maintenance practices and procedures for the benefit of Southwest and its shareholders).

He argued the appeal in *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litig.*, 56 A.D.3d 49 (1st Dep't 2008) which led to the seminal New York Appellate Division opinion which clarified the standards of demand futility, and held that a board of directors loses the protection of the business judgment rule where there is evidence of self-dealing and poor judgment by the directors; and In re Topps Company, Inc. Shareholders Litigation which resulted in a 2007 decision which vindicated the rights of shareholders under the rules of comity and doctrine of forum non conveniens and to pursue claims in the most relevant forum notwithstanding the fact that jurisdiction might exist as well in the state of incorporation. Mr. Kaufman has also lectured and taught in the subjects of corporate governance as well as transactional and derivative litigation.

In addition, Mr. Kaufman represents many corporate clients in complex commercial matters, including Puckett v. Sony Music Entertainment, No. 108802/98 (Sup. Ct. N.Y.



Cnty. 2002) (a complex copyright royalty class action); *Shropshire v. Sony Music Entertainment*, No. 06-3252 (S.D.N.Y.), and *The Youngbloods v. BMG Music*, No. 07-2394 (S.D.N.Y.); and *Mich II Holdings LLC v. Schron*, No. 600736/10 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.) (represented certain defendants in connection with real estate dispute and successfully litigated motion to dismiss all claims against those defendants; he continues to represent those clients' interests in several related litigations in New York and Delaware). Mr. Kaufman has also represented clients in arbitrations and litigation involving oppressed minority shareholders in closely held corporations.

Prior to joining WHAFH and Milberg in August of 1998, Mr. Kaufman was a Court Attorney for the New York State Supreme Court, New York County (1988-1990) and Principal Law Clerk to Justice Herman Cahn of the Commercial Division of the New York State Supreme Court, New York County (1990-1998).

Mr. Kaufman is an active member of the Commercial and Federal Litigation Section of the New York State Bar Association, the International Association of Jewish Lawyers and Jurists and the Jewish Lawyers Guild. He has also lectured on corporate governance issues to institutional investor conferences across the United States and abroad. Mr. Kaufman is a member of the Board of Trustees of the Hebrew Academy of the Five Towns and Rockaways.

JANINE L. POLLACK: admitted: New York (1990); New Jersey (1989); U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the District of New Jersey, among others. Education: Rutgers University (1986), with high honors, Phi Beta Kappa; University of Pennsylvania School of Law (1989), Editor - Journal of International Business Law. Ms. Pollack has successfully prosecuted many consumer and securities cases. She is one of the lead counsel in the recent \$28.5 million settlement in In re Reebok EasyTone Litigation (D. Mass.), as well as the \$45 million settlement in In re Skechers Toning Shoes Product Liability Litigation (Grabowski) (W.D. Ky.), false advertising class actions involving toning shoes. She is also lead counsel in numerous other class actions involving consumer fraud, including Bezdek v. Vibram USA Inc. (D. Mass.), against the maker of so-called barefoot running shoes. In addition, Ms. Pollack recently won a jury trial against R.J. Reynolds in a wrongful death tobacco case in Florida state court. She was also lead trial counsel in a federal court case against a major mutual fund advisor.

Ms. Pollack is co-chair of the Women's Initiative of the National Association of Shareholder & Consumer Attorneys (NASCAT), for which she organizes meetings and charity events. A frequent public speaker, Ms. Pollack has given lectures on such topics as consumer fraud, securities regulation, time and stress management, Cy Pres, and



other related topics. Ms. Pollack was recently appointed to the New York City Bar Association's Women in the Profession Committee. Ms. Pollack's recent achievements include being named as a New York Super Lawyer in 2012.

THOMAS H. BURT: admitted: New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Eastern District of Michigan. Education: American University (B.A., 1993); New York University (J.D., 1997). Articles Editor with New York University Review of Law and Social Change. Mr. Burt is a litigator with a practice concentrated in securities class actions and complex commercial litigation. After practicing criminal defense with noted defense lawyer Jack T. Litman for three years, he joined Wolf Haldenstein, where he has worked on such notable cases as In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, No. 21 MC 92 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.)(a novel and sweeping amalgamation of over 300 class actions which resulted in a recovery of \$586 million); In re MicroStrategy Securities Litigation, No. 00-473-A (E.D. Va.) (recovery of \$192 million); In re DRAM Antitrust Litigation, No. 02-cv-1486 (PJH) (N.D. Cal.) (antitrust case resulting in \$315 million recovery); In re Computer Associates 2002 Class Action Securities Litigation, No. 02-cv-1226 (TCP) (E.D.N.Y.)(settled, together with a related fraud case, for over \$133 million); K.J. Egleston L.P. v. Heartland Industrial Partners, et al., 2:06-13555 (E.D. Mich.) (recovery included personal assets from former Reagan Administration budget director David A. Stockman); and Parker Friedland v. Iridium World Communications, Ltd., 99-1002 (D.D.C.) (recovery of \$43.1 million). Mr. Burt has spoken on several occasions to investor and activist groups regarding the intersection of litigation and corporate social responsibility. Mr. Burt writes and speaks on both securities and antitrust litigation topics. He has served as a board member and officer of the St. Andrew's Society of the State of New York, New York's oldest charity.

RACHELE R. RICKERT: admitted: California; U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Northern, Central and Eastern Districts of California; U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Education: Point Loma Nazarene College (B.A., 1994); University of California, Hastings College of the Law (J.D., 1997). Member: State Bar of California. Former Deputy Alternate Public Defender for the County of San Diego. Ms. Rickert is located in the firm's San Diego office. She practices corporate derivative and class action litigation including securities, consumer, antitrust, employment and general corporate and business litigation. Ms. Rickert has played a significant role in litigating numerous class and derivative actions, including In re Apple & AT&TM Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. C 07-05152 JW (N.D. Cal.) (antitrust class action against Apple Inc. and AT&T Mobility LLC regarding aftermarkets for iPhone wireless service and applications); Ardon v. City of Los Angeles (2011) 52 Cal.4th 241 (challenging the City of Los Angeles' telephone users tax on behalf of the City's taxpayers); McWilliams v. City of Long Beach,



2013 Cal. LEXIS 3510, Cal. Supreme Ct. No. S202037 (April 25, 2013) (challenging the City of Long Beach's telephone users tax on behalf of the City's taxpayers); *DeFrees, et al. v. Kirkland, et al.*, No. CV 11-04272 GAF(SPx) (C.D. Cal.) (shareholder derivative action); *Bamboo Partners LLC, et al. v. Robert Mondavi Corp., et al.* (shareholder class action that settled for \$10.8 million in 2007); and *Lewis, et al. v. American Spectrum Realty, Inc., et al.*, (shareholder class action that settled for \$6.5 million in 2004).

REGINA M. CALCATERRA: *admitted:* New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts. *Education:* Seton Hall University School of Law (J.D. 1996); State University of New York at New Paltz (B.A. 1988).

For the past twenty-seven years, Ms. Calcaterra has spent her policy, managerial and legal career in both the private and public sector. Her previous private sector legal experience includes serving as a partner in a securities litigation practice where she represented defrauded public and labor pension funds. She served on the litigation teams of *In re WorldCom Securities Litigation*, *In re Merrill Lynch Securities Litigation* and *In re McKesson Securities Litigation* and represented shareholders in state court when seeking executive board, executive compensation and corporate governance changes in publicly traded corporations in an effort towards ensuring investor protections. Ms. Calcaterra has lectured on securities litigation, SEC regulatory matters and corporate governance.

Prior to joining Wolf Haldenstein she worked for the State of New York in various capacities including as Deputy General Counsel to the New York State Insurance Fund and Executive Director of two New York State Moreland Commissions – on Utility Storm Preparation and Response (CUSPR) and Investigating Public Corruption (CIPC). Under her guidance, the CUSPR investigated the response, preparation, and management of New York's power utility companies with respect to several major storms impacting the state including Hurricanes Sandy and Irene, and Tropical Storm Lee. Based upon detailed investigatory findings the CUSPR issued two reports that identified options for restructuring the Long Island Power Authority, put forth recommendations on strengthening regulatory oversight of the NYS Public Service Commission to substantially improve emergency preparedness and response for all utilities and provided policy recommendations on infrastructure needs, energy efficiency programs and consumer representation before the state's utility regulatory body. Most recommendations were immediately enacted into law and adopted into New York's utility regulatory scheme.



The CIPC also put forth recommendations via a report that which were also based upon detailed investigatory findings, focused on addressing systematic public corruption. Recommendations were accepted and integrated into statute including strengthening the state penal law to better allow district attorneys to prosecute bribery; enhancing all sentences for offenses related to public corruption; barring those convicted of public corruption from doing business with or working for state and local government; and appointing and funding a NYS Board of Elections independent enforcement counsel and compliance unit.

Prior to her state appointments, she served as Chief Deputy to the Suffolk County Executive where she managed a county of over 1.6 million residents, a \$2.7 billion annual budget and a 9500 employee workforce. She assisted the County Executive in significantly reducing the county's newly discovered \$530 million deficit to \$140 million through vendor outlay reductions, streamlining and restructuring government services and obtaining state authority to implement revenue generating initiatives. She also assisted in the management of Superstorm Sandy storm preparation and recovery for the county that included coordinating federal, state and local resources.

She is a New York Times best-selling author of Etched in Sand, A True Story of Five Siblings Who Survived an Unspeakable Childhood on Long Island (HarperCollins, 2013). As a result of its messages of resiliency, perseverance and optimism it has been integrated into college, high school and middle school curricula throughout the United States. Her next book, Etched in Sand's sequel, Girl Unbroken, A Sister's Harrowing Journey from the Streets of Long Island to the Farms of Idaho will be released by HarperCollins in October 2016. She serves as board member to You Gotta Believe, an organization that works towards finding forever or adoptive parents for older foster children and to the SUNY New Paltz Foundation Board.

RANDALL S. NEWMAN: *admitted:* New York; California; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Seventh, Ninth and Federal Circuits; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the Central, Northern, Southern, and Eastern Districts of California; and the U.S. Tax Court. *Education:* Cleveland State University (B.B.A.,1992); University of Akron School of Law (J.D. *magna cum laude*, 1997) (American Jurisprudence Award; Akron Law Review; New York University (LL.M. Taxation, 1997).

Mr. Newman has practiced law for more than 19 years and has been licensed as an accountant for more than 20 years. He has extensive experience representing clients in both transactional and litigation matters in diverse areas including securities, finance,



intellectual property, and real estate. Before beginning his own practice, Mr. Newman worked at two of the nation's largest law firms and at one of the world's largest public accounting firms. His cases often involve novel or cutting-edge legal issues. For example, in 2006, Mr. Newman commenced a class action against American Tax Relief, LLC, captioned *Brown v. American Tax Relief, LLC*, Index No. 16771/2006, and assisted New York City in filing a companion case captioned *Comm'r Department of Consumer Affairs of the City of New York v. American Tax Relief, LLC*, Index No. 402140/2006 in the New York Supreme Court. Based on those two cases, on September 24, 2010, the United States Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") obtained a monetary judgment in excess of \$103 million.

More recently, before joining the firm. Mr. Newman initiated the first class action over a disputed copyright, *Good Morning To You Productions Corp. v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc.*, No. CV 13-04460-GHK (MRWx), in federal court in Los Angeles, successfully challenging the copyright to "Happy Birthday to You," the world's most famous song. Mr. Newman and the firm have achieved worldwide acclaim for their groundbreaking work in the *Happy Birthday* litigation.

MATTHEW M. GUINEY: admitted: New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern District of New York. *Education*: The College of William & Mary (B.A. in Government and Economics 1998); Georgetown University Law Center (J.D. 2002). Mr. Guiney's primary areas of practice are securities class actions under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Exchange Act of 1934, complex commercial litigation, Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) actions on behalf of plan participants, Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 actions concerning overtime payment, and fiduciary duty actions under various state laws. Mr. Guiney has helped recover hundreds of millions of dollars for victims of corporate fraud and abuse in federal and state litigation across the country. Some of Mr. Guiney's notable results on behalf of investors include: *Mallozzi v.* Industrial Enterprises of America, Inc. et al., 1:07-cv-10321-DLC (S.D.N.Y.) (\$3.4 million settlement on behalf of shareholders); In re Luxottica Group S.p.A. Securities Litigation, No. CV 01-3285 (JBW) (MDG) (E.D.N.Y.) (\$18.5 million settlement on behalf of shareholders); In re MBNA Corp. ERISA Litigation, Master Docket No. 05-429 (GMS), (D. Del) (\$4.5 million settlement on behalf of plan participants). Recent publications include: Citigroup and Judicial Immunity in ERISA: An Emerging Trend?, Compensation and Benefits Review, Vol. 42, No. 3, 172-78 (May/June 2010) (with Mark C. Rifkin); Case of the Moenchies: Moench Provision Expansion, Employment Law360/Securities Law360 Newswires, Guest Column (June 2, 2010) (with Mark C. Rifkin).



MALCOLM T. BROWN: admitted: United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, District of New Jersey and Eastern District of Pennsylvania; United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Education: University of Pennsylvania (B.A., Political Science 1988) and Rutgers University School of Law (J.D. 1994). Mr. Brown's primary areas of practice are securities, derivative, M&A litigation and consumer class actions. Recent notable decisions include: Johnson v. Ford Motor Co., 309 F.R.D. 226 (S.D. W. Va. 2015); Thomas v. Ford Motor Co., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 43268 (D.S.C. Mar. 31, 2014); In re Merkin Sec. Litig., 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 178084 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 24, 2015). Prior to joining Wolf Haldenstein, Mr. Brown was a business litigation attorney who represented financial institutions, corporations and partnerships and advised clients on business disputes, reorganizations, dissolutions and insurance coverage matters. Notable decisions include: Garment v. Zoeller, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20736 (S.D.N.Y. June 19, 2001), aff'd 2002 U.S. App. LEXIS 9966 (2d Cir. May 24, 2002); Bainton v. Baran, 731 N.Y.S.2d 161 (1st Dep't 2001).

MICHAEL LISKOW: admitted: California, New York, U.S. District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California and the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. *Education:* University of Kansas (B.A., Psychology, 2001); University of Pennsylvania Law School (J.D. 2005), where he was the Symposium Editor of the Journal of Constitutional Law. Before joining Wolf Haldenstein, Mr. Liskow was a clerk for the Honorable Steven H. Levinson of the Supreme Court of Hawai'i and a Fulbright Teaching Assistant to the Slovak Republic.

Mr. Liskow has extensive experience litigating a wide variety of class actions on behalf of plaintiffs including consumer, wage and hour, antitrust, securities and housing matters. He has been recognized as a Rising Star by New York Super Lawyers in 2013 through 2015. Mr. Liskow was the lead attorney in Egleston v. Verizon, No. 104784/2011 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), a class action on behalf of New York Verizon Centrex customers stemming from the overbilling of telecom charges. He secured a settlement of over \$5 million which provided, among other things, full refunds of the disputed charges plus interest and fees for every class member. Other notable cases in which Mr. Liskow has played a significant role include Roberts v. Tishman Speyer, 13 N.Y.3d 270 (N.Y. 2009) (\$173 million settlement called the largest settlement on behalf of tenants in U.S. history); Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit v. Goldman Sachs & Co., 1:10-cv-04429-LAP (S.D.N.Y.) (\$272 million settlement in securities class action challenging sale of mortgage backed securities) (pending final approval); Bezdek v. Vibram USA Inc. (D. Mass.) (\$3.75 million settlement of consumer class action on behalf of purchasers of Vibram shoes for false advertising of health benefits); and *In re Apple &* AT&TM Antitrust Litigation, No. C 07-05152 JW (N.D. Cal.) (antitrust class action



against Apple Inc. and AT&T Mobility LLC regarding aftermarkets for iPhone wireless service and applications). His publications include *Is Equitable Estoppel in Arbitration a Sinking Ship?*, New York Law Journal (Dec. 12, 2011) (with Mark C. Rifkin).

SPECIAL COUNSEL

JUSTICE HERMAN CAHN: *admitted:* New York. *Education*: Harvard Law School and a B.A. from City College of the City University of New York. Justice Herman Cahn was first elected as Judge of the Civil Court of the City of New York in 1976. He subsequently served as an Acting Justice of the Supreme Court from 1980 until 1992, when he was elected to the Supreme Court. Throughout his decades on the bench, he principally handled civil cases, with the exception of 1981 until 1987, when he presided over criminal matters. Justice Cahn was instrumental in the creation of, and a founding Justice in, the Commercial Division within the New York State Supreme Court. He served as a Justice of the Commercial Division from its inception in 1993.

Among his most notable recent cases are the consolidated cases stemming from the Bear Stearns merger with JP Morgan (In re Bear Stearns Litigation); litigation regarding the America's Cup Yacht Race (Golden Gate Yacht Club v. Société Nautique de Genève); litigation stemming from the attempt to enjoin the construction of the new Yankee Stadium (Save Our Parks v. City of New York); and the consolidated state cases regarding the rebuilding of the World Trade Center site (World Trade Center Properties v. Alliance Insurance; Port Authority v. Alliance Insurance).

Justice Cahn is a member of the Council on Judicial Administration of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. He has also recently been appointed to the Character and Fitness Committee of the Appellate Division, First Department. He is on the Register of Mediators for the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

Before ascending the bench, Justice Cahn practiced law in Manhattan. He was first admitted to the New York bar in 1956. He is admitted to practice in numerous courts, including the New York State courts, the Southern District of New York and the United States Supreme Court.



PROVIDING EXEMPLARY LEGAL SERVICES SINCE 1888

OF COUNSEL

ROBERT ABRAMS: admitted: New York; U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Eastern District of Missouri, District of Maryland, and District of Delaware. Education: Haverford College (B.A., 1961); Columbia University (Ph.D., 1966), Brooklyn Law School (J.D., 1992). Woodrow Wilson Fellow; International Business Law Fellow. Adjunct Professor, Mediation Clinic, Brooklyn Law School, 1983-1984. Mr. Abrams was formerly a Professor of Political Science at Brooklyn College and the Graduate Center of the City University of New York. Member: New York State Bar Association. Mr. Abrams is the author of books on the theory of collective choice (Columbia University Press) and voting theory (Sage), as well as articles on Soviet politics, game theory and bargaining and negotiations. He has focused his practice on wage and hour litigation representing financial advisors in claims under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act and various state wage and hour laws. In addition, Mr. Abrams has participated in shareholder derivative litigation, partnership litigation and consumer class actions. Recently, Mr. Abrams participated with the Cardozo Law School Bet Tzedek Legal Services in a successful pro bono litigation in New York state court in defense of an elderly disabled person threatened with eviction.

He was co-lead counsel in *In re Tyson Foods, Inc.*, before the Delaware Chancery Court, which settled claims of breach of fiduciary duty in connection with related party transactions and spring loading of options for Tyson management.

He played a major role in litigation on behalf of securities brokers that successfully settled claims for overtime pay and improper deductions from compensation against six major brokerage houses under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act and various state wage and hour laws including New York and California. These cases included Lavoice v. Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.; Basile v. A.G. Edwards, Inc.; Rosenthal v. A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc.; Palumbo v. Merrill Lynch; Garrison v. Merrill Lynch; Roles v. Morgan Stanley; Lenihan v. Morgan Stanley; Klein v. Ryan Beck; and Badain v. Wachovia. Currently, he is representing financial advisors in litigation against Morgan Stanley (MDL New Jersey), Merrill Lynch (C.D. Cal.) and UBS (S.D.N.Y.). The UBS litigation is currently sub judice before the Second Circuit which is considering the important issue of forced arbitration and waiver of class and collective actions in employment contracts of adhesion.

Mr. Abrams was the firm's primary representative to the executive committee representing NationsBank shareholders in *In re BankAmerica Corp. Sec. Litig.*, which resulted in an award of \$490 million to NationsBank and BankAmerica shareholders.



He was also co-lead counsel in a New York state consumer protection class action against AT&T Wireless Corp., *Naevus v. AT&T Corp.*, which resulted in an award valued at \$40 million for the class members. Mr. Abrams was named a Super Lawyer from 2010 through 2015.

ANITA B. KARTALOPOULOS: *admitted*: New York. *Education*: University of Toledo, B.A.; Seton Hall University, (J.D., 1982). Ms. Kartalopoulos, a former member of Milberg LLP, litigates claims in the areas of securities fraud, derivative litigation, and mergers and acquisitions. She focuses her practice on lead plaintiff litigation, as well as breach of fiduciary and transactional litigation. She works closely with the institutional investor clients, including trustees of public and private funds, throughout the U.S. providing counsel on asset recovery, fiduciary education, and risk management.

Ms. Kartalopoulos has extensive experience in litigating complex securities cases including *In re Sears, Roebuck & Co. Securities Litigation* (\$215 million settlement), *In re Chiron Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$30 million settlement), and others. Ms. Kartalopoulos has also achieved noteworthy results including improved corporate governance and disclosures as well as increased share value in recent litigations including in *In re Topps Co. Shareholder Litigation, In re Anheuser-Busch Cos. Shareholders Litigation, In re Net Logic, In re Smith International, In re L-3 Communication Holdings, Inc., In re Republic Services, Derivative Litigation, and many others.*

Prior to entering private practice, Ms. Kartalopoulos served in senior regulatory positions involving insurance and health in the State of New Jersey, including serving as Deputy Commissioner of Insurance, for Life and Health; Director of Legal and Regulatory Affairs (Department of Health); and Executive Director of the New Jersey State Real Estate Commission. She managed the New Jersey Insurance Department's Multi-State Task Force investigating the sales practices of the Prudential Insurance Company, which resulted in a \$50 million fine against Prudential and a \$4 billion recovery for policyholders. She also served on the Board of Directors of MBL Insurance Company as a rehabilitator and managed litigation on behalf of the company.

Ms. Kartalopoulos is a regular speaker at numerous conferences focused on fiduciary education, ethics, and U.S. securities litigation, including the Investment Education Symposium, the Institutional Investor European Pensions Symposium, the Canadian Hedge Funds Investment Roundtable, the New York Hedge Funds Roundtable, and the AEDBF (Association Europeanne de Droit Bancaire et Financier), FPPTA Trustee School, GAPPT, MATTER, LATEC. She also speaks regularly on the complex legal environment that institutional investors face when addressing losses due to securities fraud as well as their proactive and reactive alternatives.



Ms. Kartalopoulos has co-authored "Deterring Executive Compensation Excesses: Regulatory Weaknesses, Litigation Strengths" (03/05, NY, NY), and "Vintage Wine in New Bottles: The Curious Evolution of the Concept of Loss Causation" (11/05, NY, NY).

Ms. Kartalopoulos is admitted to the bar of the State of New Jersey, the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Federal and Third Circuits.

ROBERT ALTCHILER: admitted: New York; Connecticut. Education: State University of New York at Albany (B.S., 1985); George Washington University Law School (J.D., 1988). Mr. Altchiler heads the firm's White Collar and Investigations practice group. Robert's practice focuses primarily in the areas of White Collar criminal investigations, corporate investigations, litigation, tax and general corporate counseling. Robert has successfully defended individuals and corporations in a wide array of multifaceted investigations in areas such as mortgage fraud, securities fraud, tax fraud, prevailing wage, money laundering, Bank Secrecy Act, embezzlement, bank and wire fraud, theft of trade secrets, criminal copyright infringement, criminal anti-counterfeiting, Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), International Traffic In Arms Regulations (ITAR), racketeering, continuing criminal enterprises, and circumvention of trade restrictions, among many others. Robert also specializes in non-criminal investigations related to various topics, including finding money allegedly being hidden by individuals, ascertaining the identities of individuals actually involved in corporate matters (when a client believes those identities are being concealed), and running undercover "sting" operations as part of civil and commercial litigation support.

Robert conducts corporate investigations and, when appropriate, when the client instructs, refers the results to law enforcement for prosecution. In one recent example, a corporate CEO came to learn assets and materials were being diverted by employees, and that the corporation was "bleeding" money as a result. The CEO needed assistance in ascertaining the identities and extent of involvement of the wrongdoers, as well as the level of theft involved. Robert directed a corporate investigation that revealed the nature of the problem. He then referred the investigation to federal authorities, which arrested the wrongdoers and prosecuted them. The wrongdoers were convicted. In addition, the amount of the theft was included in a court ordered restitution judgment and the corporation will be repaid in full.

In 1988, Robert started his legal career as a prosecutor in New York City. As a prosecutor, in addition to trying several dozen serious cases, ranging from murder to fraud to narcotics violations, he also ran wiretap and grand jury investigations involving money laundering and other financial crimes, as well as a wiretap and investigation concerning a plot to assassinate a prominent NYC judge.



In addition to his practice, Robert has been an adjunct law professor at Pace University Law School since 1998, where he teaches trial advocacy. Robert has also been a featured participant and lecturer at Cardozo Law School's acclaimed Intensive Trial Advocacy Program in New York City, and has also taught at Yale Law School. Robert's trial advocacy teaching requires him to constantly integrate new developments in communication theory and trial techniques into his pedagogical methods. Given the changing way students (and prospective jurors) communicate and digest information (via Twitter, Instagram and Snapchat, for example) Robert is able to adapt his teaching to the needs of his students. By actively participating in the mock trials and by frequently demonstrating methods, he is able to continually adapt his own communication skills and integrate cutting-edge developments into his own practice.

Robert graduated from the George Washington University Law School, and graduated with honors from the Business School at the State University of New York at Albany in 1985. He is also a 1996 graduate of the National Criminal Defense College and a 1997 graduate of the National Institute for Trial Advocacy's Harvard Teacher Training Program. In 2014, Robert was asked to teach at the prestigious EATES Program at Stetson University Law School, a program designed to teach trial advocacy professors how to better teach their students. Robert has also made dozens of television appearances on Fox, Court TV, and Tru TV, providing legal commentary on televised trials, and participating in discussions related to pertinent issues.

THEODORE B. BELL: *admitted*: Illinois; Michigan; U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; U.S. District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern Districts of Illinois and the Eastern District of Michigan. *Education*: University of Michigan (B.A., Sociology, 1988), University of Detroit, Mercy School of Law (J.D., 1992). Mr. Bell is Of Counsel to the firm and is the managing member of the firm's Chicago office. He worked with the firm as a contract attorney for several years before eventually joining the firm as an associate in 2006.

Mr. Bell has nearly 20 years of civil litigation experience. His practice is focused on class actions with an emphasis on antitrust actions. Some of the notable cases that Mr. Bell has played or is currently playing a significant role in litigating include *The Shane Group*, et al. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan, No. 10-cv-14360-DPH-MKM (E.D. Mi.) (price fixing through the use of most favored nation agreements); In re Dairy Farmers of America, Inc. Cheese Antitrust Litigation, No. 09-3960, M.D.L. No. 2031, (N.D. III.) (manipulation of cheese and milk futures to raise prices of dairy products); In re Evanston Northwestern Healthcare Corp. (ENH) Antitrust Litigation, No. 07-4446-JHL (N.D. III.) (illegal monopolization and attempted monopolization of relevant market); In re



McDonough, et al. v. Toys "R" Us, Inc., et al., No. 06 CV 00242-AB (E.D. Pa.) (retail price maintenance antitrust litigation); and In re Sulfuric Acid Antitrust Litigation, No. 03-4576, M.D.L. No. 1536 (N.D. Ill.) (price fixing and output restriction antitrust litigation).

KATE MCGUIRE: *admitted*: New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. *Education*: University of California at Santa Cruz (B.A. 1995), Georgetown University Law Center (J.D., 1998); Member: *Georgetown Immigration Law Journal*.

DANIEL TEPPER: admitted: New York. Education: University of Texas at Austin (National Merit Scholar); New York University School of Law. Mr. Tepper is Of Counsel to the firm concentrating on commercial litigation, FINRA arbitration and securities class actions. His reported cases include: Zelouf Int'l Corp. v. Zelouf, 45 Misc.3d 1205(A) (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co., 2014), rejecting application of a discount for lack of marketability in an appraisal proceeding triggered by the freeze-out merger of a closely held corporation; Sacher v. Beacon Assocs. Mgmt. Corp., 114 A.D.3d 655 (2d Dep't 2014), affirming denial of defendants' motion to dismiss shareholder derivative suit by Madoff feeder fund against the fund's auditor for accounting malpractice; In re Belzberg v. Verus Investments Holdings, 95 A.D.3d 713 (1st Dep't 2012), compelling a non-signatory to arbitrate a dispute arising out of a brokerage agreement under the doctrine of direct benefits estoppel; CMIA Partners Equity Ltd. v. O'Neill, 2010 NY Slip Op 52068(U) (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co., 2010), which was the first time that a New York state court examined shareholder derivative suits under Cayman Islands law; and Hecht v. Andover Assocs. Mgmt. Corp., 27 Misc 3d 1202(A) (Sup. Ct. Nassau Co., 2010), aff'd, 114 A.D.3d 638 (2d Dep't 2014), which was the first Madoff-related feeder fund case in the country to survive a motion to dismiss.

ASSOCIATES

KEVIN COOPER: *admitted*: New York; New Jersey; U.S. District Courts for the Southern District of New York and the District of New Jersey. Education: Fordham University (B.A., Legal and Policy Studies, 2011); Brooklyn Law School (J.D., 2014), where he served as an Associate Managing Editor on the Brooklyn Journal of Corporate, Financial & Commercial Law and as a Barry L. Zaretsky Fellow in Commercial and Bankruptcy Law. Mr. Cooper's primary areas of focus are securities, derivative and M&A litigation.

BRITTANY N. DEJONG: *admitted*: California; U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Northern, Central and Eastern Districts of California. *Education*: University of Phoenix (B.S. 2005); Golden Gate University, School of Law (J.D. 2008), Graduated with Highest



Honors, Editor – Law Review, Merit Scholarship Recipient, Member: State Bar of California. Prior to joining WHAFH, Ms. DeJong was an associate at a boutique trial firm in San Francisco where her practice focused on multiparty litigation involving catastrophic property damage. Prior to entering private practice, Ms. DeJong worked as a Research Attorney for the Honorable Peter Busch in the Law & Motion Department at the San Francisco Superior Court. Additionally, while in law school, Ms. DeJong externed for the Honorable Susan Illston of the Northern District of California and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

PATRICK DONOVAN: *admitted*: New York (2012). Education: Iona College (B.A., Business Management, 2007); St. John's University School of Law (J.D., 2011). Mr. Donovan's primary areas of focus are securities, derivative and M&A litigation.

CORREY A. KAMIN: *admitted*: New York (2012); New Jersey (2011); United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. *Education*: Georgetown University (B.S.B.A., Finance & Management, 2008) and Ohio State University Moritz College of Law (J.D., 2011) (student note published in *The Ohio State Journal of Criminal Law*).

MARISA LIVESAY: *admitted*: California; United States District Courts for the Southern, Central and Northern District of California; Ninth Circuit. *Education*: University of Arizona (B.A., History & Spanish, 1999); University California Los Angeles Law School (J.D. 2002).

CARL MALMSTROM: *admitted:* Illinois; Minnesota; Northern District of Illinois. *Education:* University of Chicago (B.A., Biology, 1999; M.A., Social Science, 2001); University of Hawaii at Manoa (M.A. Anthropology, 2004); Loyola University Chicago (J.D., 2007).

GLORIA KUI MELWANI: admitted: New York (2006), New Jersey (2005), United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, District of New Jersey. Education: New York University (B.M., Piano Performance, 2000); Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law (J.D., 2005), where she served as a Notes Editor on the Cardozo Public Law, Policy and Ethics Journal. Ms. Melwani's primary areas of focus are securities, stockholder derivative litigation, M&A litigation, and consumer litigation.



NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICIES

Wolf Haldenstein does not discriminate or tolerate harassment against any employee or applicant because of race, creed, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, marital status, sexual orientation, or alienage or citizenship status and designs its hiring practices to ensure that minority group members and women are afforded equal employment opportunities without discrimination. The Firm is in compliance with all applicable Federal, State, County, and City equal employment opportunity laws.

Wolf Haldenstein is proud of its long history of support for the rights of, and employment opportunities for, women, the disadvantaged, and minority group persons, including the participation in civil rights and voter registration activities in the South in the early 1960s by partners of the Firm; the part-time employment of disadvantaged youth through various public school programs; the varied *pro bono* activities performed by many of the Firm's lawyers; the employment of many women and minority group persons in various capacities at the Firm, including at the partner level; the hiring of ex-offenders in supported job training programs; and the use of minority and women-owned businesses to provide services and supplies to the Firm.

270 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10016 TELEPHONE: 212-545-4600 TELECOPIER: 212-545-4653 WWW.WHAFH.COM

SYMPHONY TOWERS 750 B STREET, SUITE 2770 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 TELEPHONE: 619-239-4599

TELECOPIER: 619-234-4599

70 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 1400 CHICAGO, IL 60602 TELEPHONE: 312-984-0000

TELECOPIER: 312-214-3110



PROVIDING EXEMPLARY LEGAL SERVICES SINCE 1888